WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	98-29-3 Inspector	w	J			•	
Time	3-30Weather Conditions:	)U c	Δ.(	'NS	<u></u>	75	_
			Yes	No		Notes	
CCR.	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257	849					
1.		-II			<del></del>	_	
1	localized settlement observed on the	`				-	
1_	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	1			1		
	CCR7	-		1 1	1		
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells					···	
	containing CCR or within the general landfill	.					
	operations that represent a potential disruption	ni		, .			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?						
3_	Were conditions observed within the cells or	l.					
	within the general landfill operations that	F .					
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	f		1 1	1		
	the CCR management operations.	.					
CCRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	)( <u>4</u> ))		-l			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting	1				<del></del>	
	period? If answer is no, no additional	1		1 1/	1 .		
	information required.	1					
 5 <sub>-</sub>	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	-					
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	-				-	
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					•	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	1					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?						
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				-		
	landfill access roads?	ŀ	İ			-	
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		.		-		
	corrective action measures below.					-	
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control						
	measures effective? If the answer is no.						
	describe recommended changes below.						
IO_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	<del></del>	-				
	complaints received during the reporting	1					
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question	•					
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		$\neg \vdash$				
ginonsl	Notes:	•					
	:						

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKRLANSINGLANDEILL Inspector Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sīdeslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3. Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dast Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5\_ suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6\_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on 7\_ landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. ےو Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no. describe recommended changes below. 10\_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11\_ Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xls=

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB/CANSING LANDFILL Inspector Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257-84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or Iocalized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or 3. within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6\_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe

L	penod? If the answer is yes, answer quest	ion	1	
11				-
Additio	onal Notes:			
<del></del>		: .		 
	-	=		
		i		 

Q:\Waste Connections\Lensing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015.xls

corrective action measures below.

Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.

Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting

9\_

IO\_

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL Inspector. Weather Conditions: Yes Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6\_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Iandfill access roads? 8\_ Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9\_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no. describe recommended changes below. IO\_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11\_ Were the citizen complaints logged?

	•	
_		
	<u> </u>	

Additional Notes-

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT Weather Conditions: Yes Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9\_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen

Additional Notes:	
-	

complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question

Were the citizen complaints logged?

II\_